JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

Case 5:13-cv-05312146 Posyment 1 Filed 09/11/13 Page 1 of 8

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provic y local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS SAMANTHA ARMENTROUT					DEFENDANTS CBE GROUP, INC.					
 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff BERKS (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Cary L. Flitter, Esq., and Andrew M. Milz, Esq., Flitter Lorenz, P.C., 450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101, Narberth, PA 19072, (610) 822-0782 					County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED, Attorneys (If Known)					
П. Т	BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in Or	ne Roy Only) I	II CII	L TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCI	PAL PARTIES(P	lace an "X" in One	Box for I	Plaintiff
	U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N		PTF	(For Diversity Cases Only		DEF 1 Incorporated o P	and One Box for		
<u>2</u>	U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	o of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	2	of Business In This Incorporated and	State	<u> </u>	_ 5
ĪV.	NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only				on or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation oreign Country			□ 6	□ 6 ——	
LV.	CONTRACT		orts	FORE	EITURE/PENALTY	R	ANKRUPTCY	OTHER ST	ATUTE	8
121 131 131 144 151 155 155 155 155 155 155 155 15	0 Insurance 20 Marine 60 Miller Act 60 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y	O Agriculture O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 Uiquor Laws R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relations D Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act O Other Labor Litigation I Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422	Appeal 28 USC 158 Withdrawal 8 USC 157 PERTY RIGHTS Copyrights	□ 400 State Rear □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportatio □ 470 Racketeer □ Corrupt Org □ 480 Consumer □ 490 Cable/Sat □ 810 Selective S □ 850 Securities/ □ Exchange □ 875 Customer □ 12 USC 341 □ 890 Other Stat □ 891 Agricultur □ 892 Economic □ 893 Environme □ 894 Energy Al □ 895 Freedom of Act □ 900Appeal of I □ Under Equa to Justice □ 950 Constitutic State Statute	Banking Influence anization Credit TV Gervice Commodi Challenge 0 atory Actia al Acts Stabilization Informa Fee Detern I Access onality of	ent d and s ities/ c ions tion Act ters Act
	1 Original 2	State Court Ap	manded from 4 pellate Court F	Reinstate Reopened	(specify)	listrict [6 Multidistrict 7	Appeal to Distr Judge from Ma Judgment		
VI. C	CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute Brief description of cause			ioi che jurisulchodal s	statutes U	iniess diversity):			
	REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$		YES only if demanded DEMAND: Yes	ed in complaint No.		
	RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET	ΓNUMBER			
DATE	9/11/13		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	DRNEY O	FRECORD					
	OFFICE USE ONLY	IOUNT	A PPI VING IEP	2	HIDGE		MAG IIID	F		

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX F

assignment to appropriate calendar.								
Address of Plaintiff: 1666 Chestnut Hill Road, Morgantown, PA 19543								
Address of Defendant: 1309 Technology Parkway, Cedar Falls, IA 50613								
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1666 Chestnut Hill Road, Morgantown, PA 19543								
	(Use Rever	rse Side For Additional Space)						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in		blicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? Yes No						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?		Yes □ No ⊠						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:								
Case Number: Judge	Date Term	ninated:						
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to a	ny of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier	numbered suit pending or within one year p	previously terminated action in this court?						
		Yes No 🛛						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow action in this court?	out of the same transaction as a prior suit	pending or within one year previously terminated Yes ☐ No ☒						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of	a patent already in suit or any earlier number	ered case pending or within one year previously						
terminated action in this court?		Yes □ No 🏻						
CIVIL: (Place ☑ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)								
A. Federal Question Cases:		ity Jurisdiction Cases:						
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Ot	_	urance Contract and Other Contracts						
2. FELA		plane Personal Injury						
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	_	ault, Defamation						
4. Antitrust		rine Personal Injury						
5. Patent	_	tor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. Labor-Management Relations		ter Personal Injury (Please specify)						
7. Civil Rights		ducts Liability						
 Habeas Corpus Securities Act(s) Cases 	_	ducts Liability (Asbestos)						
10. Social Security Review Cases		other Diversity Cases ase specify)						
11. ⊠ All other Federal Question Cases	(Fie	ase specify)						
(Please specify) TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227								
(Trease specify) Tell A, 47 U.S.C. § 227	ADDIED ATION CEDELOAS	FION						
A D M MI	(Check appropriate Category							
I, Andew M. M. (Check appropriate Category) I, counsel of record do hereby certify:								
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;								
· No.	•							
Relief other than monetary damages	is sought	- 03/F						
DATE: 9/11/13		Attorney I.D.						
A	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.						
NOTE: A trial de novo wil	be a trial by jury only if there has	been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not	related to any case now pending or within	n one year previously terminated action in this court except						
as noted above. / /								
DATE: 9/11/13	includ	2077/5						
	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.						

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

SAMANTHA ARMENTROUT

CIVIL ACTION

		V ,	9			
CBE GROUP, INC. : NO.						
plaintit filing to side of designathe the pla	ff shall comple he complaint and f this form.) In ation, that defe intiff and all o	the Civil Justice Expense and ate a case Management Track and serve a copy on all defendant the event that a defendant and shall, with its first apporter parties, a case managemelieves the case should be assignated.	Designations. (See does not earance, ent track	ion Form in all civil cases a § 1:03 of the plan set forth o agree with the plaintiff regulation to the clerk of court a	t the tin n the regarding and serv	ne of verse said
SELEC	CT ONE OF TI	HE FOLLOWING CASE MA	NAGEM	ENT TRACKS:		
(a)	Habeas Corpus	s – Cases brought under 28 U.S.	C. §2241	through §2255.	()
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits					
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 5					
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.)
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases))
(f)	Standard Mana	gement – Cases that do not fall	into any o	one of the other tracks.	(**)
9 / II Date	13	Attorney at Law) 	Andrew M. M. Attorney for Plainti	//2 ff	
(610) 822-0782 Felephone (Civ.660) 10/02		(610) 667-0552 Fax Number	_	Amilz@consumerslaw.c E-Mail Address	<u>om</u>	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SAMANTHA ARMENTROUT, nee

CIVIL ACTION

SAMANTHA CASE 1666 Chestnut Hill Road Morgantown, PA 19543

Plaintiff

NO.

VS.

CBE GROUP, INC. 1309 Technology Parkway Cedar Falls, IA 50613

Defendant

COMPLAINT

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (hereinafter "TCPA") and Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 ("FDCPA").
- 2. The TCPA broadly prohibits any person from placing calls using an automated telephone dialing system or artificial or prerecorded voice to a cellular phone. The FDCPA prohibits misleading and harassing conduct in the effort to collect a debt.
- 3. Defendant harassed Plaintiff with repeated autodialed collection calls to Plaintiff's cell phone, in violation of the TCPA and FDCPA.

II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

4. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's TCPA and FDCPA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331; *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Ser., Inc.*, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012).

5. Venue in this Court is proper in that Plaintiff resides here, the conduct complained of occurred here, and the Defendant transacts business here.

III. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Samantha Armentrout, nee Samantha Case, is a natural person residing in Morgantown, PA with a mailing address as captioned.
- 7. Defendant CBE Group, Inc. ("Defendant" or "CBE") is an Iowa debt collector with a primary place of business in Cedar Falls, IA as captioned.
- 8. CBE is a corporation, and at all relevant times was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 9. CBE is a "debt collector" as that term is contemplated in the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

- 10. Plaintiff Samantha Armentrout has a cellular telephone number (ending in 7257) which she carries on her person and regularly uses.
- 11. Plaintiff only uses this number as a cellular telephone number and does not believe that it was ever ported from a wireline service.
- 12. At all relevant times, this phone number was assigned to a cellular telephone service Plaintiff used and paid for.
- 13. Beginning on or about July 2, 2013, and continuing to the present, CBE began placing calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number in the effort to collect an old utility bill allegedly owed to its client, PECO.
 - 14. The alleged PECO bill was incurred for personal, family or household use.

- 15. CBE placed at least 30 calls to Plaintiff's cell phone from July 2, 2013 to the present.
- 16. When answered, there would be a long pause or a recording, consistent with an automated telephone dialing system.
- 17. It is believed, and therefore averred, that the calls made by CBE to Plaintiff's cell phone were made using either an automatic telephone dialing system, as that term is defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), or an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 18. Plaintiff never provided her cell phone number to Defendant or its client, PECO, and thus CBE never had the "prior express consent" that is required by the TCPA.
- 19. These telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes," as defined by the Federal Communication Commission in 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200.
- 20. Defendant willfully placed these auto-dialed calls to Plaintiff without Plaintiff's consent.
- 21. CBE's repeated autodialed calls were intentionally made in the effort to harass or abuse Plaintiff in violation of the FDCPA. 15 U.S.C. §1692d, d(5).
- 22. CBE used false, deceptive or misleading means in collecting the alleged debt, including taking an action it could not legally take. 15 U.S.C. §1692e, e(5).

COUNT I – CBE'S VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA

- Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained above as if the same were here set forth at length.
- 24. Defendant has violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. and its implementing Regulation at 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 *et seq* by making any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any

automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

25. Plaintiff is entitled, under the TCPA, to statutory damages of not less than \$500.00 nor more than \$1,500.00 for each autodialed or artificial/pre-recorded telephone call to her cellular phone.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant CBE Group, Inc. for the following:

- (a) Statutory damages for each call, pursuant to the TCPA;
- (b) A declaration that Defendant's calls violate the TCPA and must cease;
- (b) Such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

COUNT II – CBE'S VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA

- 26. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained above as if the same were here set forth at length.
- 27. The acts by Defendant described above violate the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, in the following ways:
 - (a) By engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692d; and
 - (b) By using any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant CBE Group, Inc. for the following:

(a) Damages;

- (b) Attorney's fees and costs;
- (c) Such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

V. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: 9/11/13

CARY L. FLITTER THEODORE E. LORENZ ANDREW M. MILZ

FLITTER LORENZ, P.C. 450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101 Narberth, PA 19072 (610) 822-0752

Attorneys for Plaintiff